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ABSTRACT

Ten-year (2004–2013) observations of cloud and surface shortwave (SW) and longwave (LW) fluxes at Ny-Ålesund were analysed to investigate monthly variations in cloudiness and their impacts on the surface LW radiation budget and near-surface temperature ($T_s$). The cloud fraction (CF) showed distinct monthly variations, high in summer (0.90) and lower in winter (0.79). The downward SW flux increased from March and showed a peak (~200 W m$^{-2}$) in June. In contrast, the downward LW (LWD) flux increased from ~200 W m$^{-2}$ in February to ~300 W m$^{-2}$ in July. Both LWD and upward LW (LWU) fluxes and their difference increased during winter as lowest cloud base height (LCBH) decreased and CF increased. $T_s$ difference and both LW fluxes difference ($\Delta$LWD and $\Delta$LWU), calculated as the difference in monthly mean $T_s$ and LW between all-sky and cloud-free conditions, were highly correlated ($R^2 = 0.68$ for LWD and $R^2 = 0.92$ for LWU). Dramatic changes in $T_s$, CF and LW fluxes at Ny-Ålesund were closely associated with cold and warm air mass advection on a multi-day time scale. The average $T_s$ under low-level clouds (LCBH $\leq 2$ km) was estimated as $-7.4 \pm 6.1 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ due to warm air masses advected from the North Atlantic Ocean and Barents Sea, whereas the average $T_s$ on cloud-free days was $-14.5 \pm 5.7 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ because of cold air mass advection from the pole. However, the duration of low-level clouds may not be long enough to drive such large $T_s$ variations. 75-percentile of low-level cloud conditions at Ny-Ålesund persisted up to 2.3 days, whereas cloud-free and high-altitude cloud (LCBH $> 2$ km) conditions lasted for approximately 0.8 and 0.5 days, respectively. This implies that cloud LW effects on several warm days may be larger than the monthly average, but may not be accumulated enough to induce surface warming due to abrupt $T_s$ drop associated with cold air mass advection.
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1. Introduction

Arctic clouds play a key role in the surface radiation budget by modulating longwave (LW) and shortwave (SW) radiative fluxes, which affect surface temperature and the extent of sea ice and snow melting (Curry et al., 1996). Clouds in the Arctic are also well known as one of major contributors to Arctic amplification (Graversen and Wang 2009; Cronin and Tziperman, 2015). Clouds mainly have a warming effect but sometimes a cooling effect lies in the surface albedo. Several intensive experiments, such as the Surface Heat Budget of the Arctic Ocean (SHEBA; Uttal et al., 2002) and Arctic Summer Cloud Ocean Study (ASCOS; Tjernström et al., 2014), have revealed that clouds warm the Arctic surface for most of the annual cycle, but cool the surface in mid-summer (Intrieri et al., 2002; Shupe and Intrieri, 2004) when a melting of sea ice has already started due to increased downward SW so that the surface albedo is lowered. Decreased cloud cover in the summer can directly reduce sea ice extent due to increased solar radiation reaching the surface (Kay et al., 2008; Kay and Gettelman, 2009), whereas decreased wintertime cloud cover, which potentially decreases the surface warming, may have an important role in sea ice cover return the following summer (Liu and Key, 2014).

Investigating cloud-radiative interactions in the Arctic is still challenging due to complex environmental conditions (e.g. low temperature and absolute humidity, persistent temperature inversions, highly reflective and inhomogeneous snow/ice surface and multi-layered clouds; Curry et al., 1996; Stamnes...
et al., 1999) and cloud microphysics (e.g. liquid or solid particles and cloud base temperature; Earle et al., 2011). Cloud radiative effects are also largely affected by the surface albedo and solar zenith angle (Shupe and Intrieri, 2004; Sedlar et al., 2011).

In addition, Arctic surface radiative fluxes among atmosphere-only models show large uncertainties, especially for cloudy conditions (Walsh et al., 2002). Cloud simulations and intercomparisons from Arctic regional climate models have shown considerable differences in cloud fraction (CF) and cloud microphysics (e.g. liquid water path) compared to observational data (Tjernström et al., 2008; Wyser et al., 2008). Moreover, reanalysis data show large discrepancies compared to ground-based and satellite observations of the radiation budget and CF over the Arctic (Zygmuntowska et al., 2012; Chaudhuri et al., 2014). These deficiencies still provide large uncertainties in estimating Arctic cloud radiative effects.

In this study, we investigated monthly variations in cloudiness and their impacts on the surface LW radiation budget and near-surface temperature ($T_n$) using a 10-year (2004–2013) observation record of cloud geometry properties, surface SW and LW radiation, and meteorological parameters at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard. We also investigated the influence of warm and cold air mass advection related to regional-scale atmospheric circulations on cloudiness, surface LW fluxes and $T_n$ during winter.

2. Cloud and surface radiation data

Comprehensive surface observations of cloud and surface radiation budget were made from January 2004 to December 2013 at Ny-Ålesund (78.92° N, 11.53° E), on the island of Spitsbergen in Svalbard, Norway.

Lowest cloud base height (LCBH) and CF were estimated from cloud vertical structure measurements using a Micro-Pulse Lidar (MPL; Spinhirne, 1993; Shiobara et al., 2003), operated by National Institute of Polar Research (NIPR) as part of NASA’s Micro-Pulse Lidar Network (MPLNET). The Normalized Relative Backscatter (NRB) profile, averaged over 1-min time intervals and 30-m vertical resolution, at a wavelength of 523 nm was used to estimate the LCBH. To match with radiation data, LCBH was calculated as the mean value of CBH when clouds were observed for more than 25 min in MPL observations for 30 min. It is worth to mention that LCBH was only used in this study because we cannot get accurate information on cloud top height for optically thick clouds and on cloud boundaries for multi-layered clouds due to strong signal attenuation inside clouds. Following the method provided in Dong et al. (2010), we calculated CF as the percentage of lidar returned signals that were detected as clouds (i.e. the ratio of cloud detection number to total observation number) within a specific sample period (e.g. day or month). Here, we note that lidar-derived CF, which accounts for the presence of clouds along the laser beam path, may be different compared to routine observations estimating the fraction of sky covered by cloud at a given time, depending on how clouds were distributed. Compared to the regular routine observation of hemispheric cloud coverage, near-zenith viewing lidar-derived CF can be overestimated when most clouds were present along the lidar beam path but no clouds in the sky around, and vice versa.

The Korea Polar Research Institute (KOPRI) measured the hemispheric downwelling and upwelling shortwave (SWD and SWU; 0.3–2.8 μm) and longwave (LWD and LWU; approximately 5–50 μm) irradiances with Kipp & Zonen pyranometers and pyrgeometers (model: CNR1). The radiation measurements were located about 300 m away from the ocean water and about 1.3 km from the Zeppelin Mountain (472 m, above mean sea level). The upwelling and downwelling data presented in this paper were collected at the same location as the MPL measurements. Surface SW and LW fluxes used in this study were in agreement with co-located (about 100 m away) and simultaneous flux measurements within the framework of Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN). The absolute value of mean difference between the two was about 1.3 W m$^{-2}$ (SWD), 0.7 W m$^{-2}$ (SWU), 1.0 W m$^{-2}$ (LWD) and 1.1 W m$^{-2}$ (LWU), and the root mean square was about 10.5 W m$^{-2}$ (SWD), 8.3 W m$^{-2}$ (SWU), 4.4 W m$^{-2}$ (LWD) and 4.1 W m$^{-2}$ (LWU), respectively. In addition, meteorological measurements, including temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and direction at the ground level were made by KOPRI.

3. Monthly variations of cloud fraction and surface radiation

Figure 1a shows the monthly variation in CF along with the six LCBH categories derived from MPL measurements from 2004 to 2013. The mean annual CF was 0.84 ± 0.05, and increased from February (0.74) to May (0.88), remained relatively high from May to September (~0.91), and then decreased from October to February. CFs at Ny-Ålesund from May to October are similar to those reported from radar–lidar measurements at Barrow, Alaska, but CFs from January to April are slightly higher than those observed at Barrow (Dong et al., 2010). This difference is due to the location of Ny-Ålesund at the northernmost point of North Atlantic cyclone track, therefore the site is frequently influenced by cyclone from the Atlantic (Kim et al., 2017).

The minimum CF for LCBH below 1 km (LCBH ≤ 1 km) appeared in March (0.16), whereas the maximum was in July (0.49). The percentage of CF for LCBH ≤ 1 km increased in summer warm months due to the formation of optically thick near-surface clouds from enhanced sea to atmosphere heat and moisture flux (e.g. Ganeshan and Wu, 2016). Lower (sub-freezing) temperatures would increase the likelihood of ice clouds (or ice concentration in clouds) that more readily falls out and
therefore reduces the cloud fraction during winter. Frequent horizontal transport of dry cold air masses over ice sheet from central Arctic also can be attributed to lower percentage of CF for LCBH ≤ 1 km during winter. In contrast with the CF for LCBH ≤ 1 km, the CF for LCBH > 2 km was the lowest in summer (i.e. January: 0.40, August: 0.20). As we mentioned above, the ground-based up-looking lidar cannot properly measure clouds overlying optically thick clouds due to signal attenuation.

Figure 1b illustrates the monthly mean and standard deviation of the SW flux. The downward SW (SWD) flux peaked in June (205 W m⁻²), when sunshine duration and solar elevation were the highest, while the SWD flux was zero during the polar night, from November to February. The upward SW (SWU) flux, which is equal to the reflected SWD flux, depends on surface albedo and SWD flux.

The SWU flux increased from zero in winter to 18 W m⁻² in March, 124 W m⁻² in May, and then sharply decreased from June (64 W m⁻²). Unlike SWD, maximum SWU occurred in May, because of the reduction in surface albedo due to the snow melt (Dong et al., 2010; Maturilli et al., 2015). The inter-annual standard deviation in SWU flux was the highest in June (32 W m⁻²), because the initiation of surface snow/ice melting was slightly different each year (Maturilli et al., 2015).

Figure 1c shows the monthly mean and standard deviation of the LW fluxes from ground-based radiation measurements. Because the upward LW (LWU) flux is primarily dependent on the surface temperature and emissivity, the LWU flux showed a maximum of 355 W m⁻² in July and a minimum of 247 W m⁻² in February, which is similar to the annual variation in near-surface temperature (Tₑ; maximum in July: 6.5 °C, minimum in February: −13.1 °C; see Fig. 1d). The magnitude of LWD flux is affected by atmospheric moisture and cloud properties, such as cloud optical thickness and cloud base temperature. Compared to summer (e.g. 322 W m⁻² for July), the LWD flux observed in winter was much lower (e.g. 210 W m⁻² for February) at Ny-Ålesund because the amount of atmospheric water vapour, air temperature and CF were low in winter (Maturilli and Kayser, 2017).

Meanwhile, the large atmospheric moisture in summer can make LWD flux less sensitive to presence of low-level clouds, which caused lower inter-annual variation of LWD flux in
summer (standard deviation in JJA: 6.5 W m⁻²) than winter (standard deviation in DJF: 19.0 W m⁻²). This result is consistent with those observed at Barrow, Alaska (Dong et al., 2010).

4. Relation between cloud parameters and longwave radiation in winter: a monthly perspective

In this section, we provide a discussion on the relationship between cloud geometry properties (i.e. LCBH, CF) and surface LW fluxes, as well as between cloud LW radiative effects and $T_s$. To exclude the effect of SW flux on $T_s$, the analysis focused only on wintertime, November to February.

Figure 2 shows the surface LWD and LWU fluxes during the winter periods from 2004 to 2013 with respect to LCBH every kilometre. It should be noted that the LWD flux at the surface depends on cloud height and amount as well as atmospheric temperature and humidity, whereas the LWU is entirely dependent on $T_s$, which is affected by LWD as well as many other factors (e.g. SWD, warm advection). Both LWD and LWU fluxes in the cloud-free condition were lower than those in cloudy condition. The surface LWD flux increased as LCBH decreased, due to increasing cloud radiating temperature with lower LCBH, relating also with atmospheric temperature. The LWD flux increased by approximately 83 W m⁻² from the cloud-free (182 ± 26 W m⁻²) to cloudy condition for LCBH ≤ 1 km (265 ± 35 W m⁻²), and gradually decreased with increasing LCBH (e.g. 208 ± 35 W m⁻² for LCBH ≥ 4 km). The surface LWU flux showed similar variation, but having a small magnitude variation with increasing LCBH. The LWF flux difference between the cloud-free condition and LCBH ≤ 1 km (LCBH > 4 km, in parenthesis) was estimated to be approximately 40 W m⁻² (16 W m⁻²). The net LW (LWN), calculated by subtracting the LWU flux from the LWD flux, increased from −55 W m⁻² for the clear-sky condition to −12 W m⁻² for LCBH ≤ 1 km. This result suggests that low-level clouds reduced radiative cooling (i.e. increasing surface radiative warming) during winter at Ny-Ålesund, as indicated by positive cloud radiative forcing (CRF).

Figure 3 is a scatter plot of monthly averaged CF derived from MPL measurements and surface LW fluxes at Ny-Ålesund during the winter periods from 2004 to 2013. Both monthly averaged LWU and LWD fluxes increased as monthly averaged CF increased. Because the slope was about 110 (i.e. 110 W m⁻² per unit CF) for the LWU flux and 160 (i.e. 160 W m⁻² per unit CF) for the LWD flux, the difference between the LWD and LWU fluxes monotonically reduced with increasing CF. From Figs. 2 and 3, we can conclude that the LWN increases with decreasing LCBH and increasing CF. Shupe and Intrieri (2004) also found that CRF increased with decreasing LCBH and increasing CF, based on LW flux measurements at SHEBA and clear-sky radiation derived from the radiative transfer model.

Figure 4 shows the relationship between near-surface temperature difference ($\Delta T_s$) and downward ($\Delta$LWD) and upward LW ($\Delta$LWU) radiation difference for a month and 30-min averaged data. Here, $\Delta$LWD, $\Delta$LWU and $\Delta$T_s were calculated as the differences in LWD, LWU and $T_s$ between 30-min data and monthly mean data under cloud-free conditions for that month (Ramanathan et al., 1989). Monthly averaged $\Delta$LWD showed a positive correlation with $\Delta T_s$ ($R^2 = 0.68$; Fig. 4a). Meanwhile, the 30-min averaged $\Delta T_s$ and $\Delta$LWD data were more widely scattered even though the coefficient of determination was similar ($R^2 = 0.66$). The 30-min averaged data were clearly divided into two groups: one was distributed in the range of ±5 °C and ±20 W m⁻² centred both $\Delta T_s$ and $\Delta$LWD on zero (hereafter, ‘cold group’; black dotted box), and the other group was distributed over 5–15 °C and 40 – 120 W m⁻² (hereafter, ‘warm group’; red dotted box).

As with the monthly average data, $\Delta$LWD in warm group increased with $\Delta T_s$ and the slope of $\Delta$LWD was similar to that of monthly average data. This high $\Delta$LWD and its good relation to $\Delta T_s$ can be attributable to the presence of low-level clouds,
as expected from Fig. 2. Larger change (i.e. lower slope) in $\Delta T$, relative to $\Delta LWD$ in cold group was observed under cloud-free condition or mid- and high-level clouds (more detailed discussion in Section 5). As shown in Fig. 4b, $\Delta LWD$ also linearly increased as $\Delta T_c$ increased both in 30 min ($R^2 = 0.93$) and monthly ($R^2 = 0.92$) averaged data, because the LWU flux is primarily dependent on the surface temperature. An increase in $\Delta LWD$ can result in an increase of $T_c$, which subsequently contributes to an increase of LWU. The monthly mean $\Delta LWD$ and $\Delta LWU$ of this study were similar to those reported in previous studies (Shupe and Intrieri, 2004; Dong et al. 2010). However, the CRF may not be the only driver changing the $\Delta T_c$ from $-10$ to $15$ °C, as shown in the 30-min average data. Compared to monthly average data, a large variation in the 30-min averaged $\Delta LWD$ ($-40$ – $140$ W m$^{-2}$) and $\Delta LWU$ ($-40$ – $100$ W m$^{-2}$) was also observed. To further explain the possible factors influencing $T_c$, we investigated changes in CF, LCBH, LW fluxes and $T_c$ under varying regional-scale circulations (i.e. advection of cold or warm air mass) on a multi-day time scale over the study region.

5. Effects of air mass advection on surface LW fluxes and temperature on a multi-day time scale

Distinct changes in cloudiness, $T_c$ and fluxes on a multi-day time scale associated with regional-scale circulation were very often observed at Ny-Ålesund during the winter. As an example, Figure 5 shows the temporal variations in LCBH, surface LW fluxes, and $T_c$ at Ny-Ålesund from 1 to 10 February 2010. Both surface LWD flux and $T_c$ dramatically changed every two days in this case. On cold days ($T_c$: $-8$ to $-10$ °C), LWD flux was about $220$ to $230$ W m$^{-2}$ under cloud-free conditions (e.g. 6–7 February) and high clouds (LCBH $\geq$ 6 km; 3 February), whereas an LWD flux of $\sim$300 W m$^{-2}$ was observed on warm days ($\sim$2 to $-1$ °C, 4–5 and 8–9 February) under low-level clouds (LCBH $\leq$ about 2 km). In addition, the LWD flux and $T_c$ sharply increased about $60$ W m$^{-2}$ and $4$ °C, respectively, within a few hours around 00 UTC on 4 February 2010. Similar drastic decreases were observed on 6 February 2010. The temporal variation in the LWU flux was similar to the LWD flux, but with a small fluctuation. $T_c$ was a relatively constant and LWU was near zero on warm days under low-level clouds, while $T_c$ dropped and LWN was estimated at about $-40$ to $-50$ W m$^{-2}$ during cloud-free condition. The variation of LWN is consistent with observations under clear and cloudy conditions at the SHEBA site during winter (Stramler et al., 2011).

However, under switching of warm and cold air masses with about a two-day cycle, low-level clouds are not solely responsible for abrupt changes of surface LW fluxes and $T_c$ on warm days. This is because increases in LWD flux and $T_c$ were simultaneously observed when warm air mass advected over the site. To further explain the relationships between clouds, LWD flux and $T_c$ at Ny-Ålesund, we investigate the $T_c$ distribution frequency with respect to cloud-free and cloudy (LCBH $\leq$ 2 km and LCBH $> 2$ km) conditions during the winter periods from 2004 to 2013 (Fig. 6). Mean $T_c$ for cloud-free conditions was estimated as $-14.5\pm5.7$ °C, but mean $T_c$ under low-level clouds was $-7.4 \pm 6.1$ °C. As we explained in Fig. 5, changes in $T_c$ were closely associated with the presence of low-level clouds. However, the duration of low-level cloud (approximately 2 days in Fig. 5) may not be long enough to drive such large $T_c$ changes. 75-percentile (95-percentile, in parenthesis) of low-level cloud conditions (LCBH $\leq$ 2 km) at Ny-Ålesund were estimated to persist up to approximately 2.5 (5.8) days from MPL measurements (Fig. 7). Cloud-free and high-altitude cloud (LCBH $> 2$ km) conditions lasted for approximately 0.8 (2.1) and 0.5 (1.4) days at the 75-percentile (95-percentile), respectively.

To investigate the relationship between regional-scale circulations and the observed clouds in Ny-Ålesund, we plot the averaged geopotential height and wind fields at 850 hPa pressure level during winter in 2004–2013 (Fig. 8). Generally, the semi-permanent Icelandic low is located at the south of Greenland (denoted as ‘L’ in Fig. 8a), which can affect the cyclone activity such as track and intensity over Arctic during winter (Serreze et al., 1997; Fig. 8a). According to Brümmern et al. (2000), an active cyclogenesis is frequently observed over the Fram Strait between Greenland and Spitsbergen. When low-level clouds (LCBH $\leq$ 2 km) were observed at Ny-Ålesund (Fig. 8b), warm and moist air were brought from North Atlantic Ocean into the Spitsbergen by southerly/south-westerly winds, which were enhanced by deepening Icelandic low-pressure system. This is also clearly seen in the backward trajectory.
Fig. 4. Relationship between near-surface temperature difference and downward (left panel) and upward (right panel) longwave radiation difference for monthly (black open circle) and 30-min (data points expressed in colour) averaged data during the winter periods, November–February, of 2004–2013. Temperature and downward and upward longwave differences are calculated between all-sky and cloud-free conditions. The solid and dashed lines represent the least-square linear regression for monthly and 30-min averaged data, respectively.
THE OBSERVED RELATIONSHIP OF CLOUD TO SURFACE LONGWAVE RADIATION

We analyse monthly variations in clouds and surface SW and LW fluxes using a 10-year period (2004–2013) of observations at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard. We also investigated the relationship between clouds and surface LW fluxes, and the influence of warm and cold air mass advection due to regional-scale atmospheric circulation on winter cloudiness, surface LW fluxes and \( T_s \). The principal findings of our analysis are summarized below:

6. Summary

We analyse monthly variations in clouds and surface SW and LW fluxes using a 10-year period (2004–2013) of observations at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard. We also investigated the relationship between clouds and surface LW fluxes, and the influence of warm and cold air mass advection due to regional-scale atmospheric circulation on winter cloudiness, surface LW fluxes and \( T_s \). The principal findings of our analysis are summarized below:

Fig. 5. Cloud, longwave radiation and near-surface temperature measurements at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard, from 1 to 10 February 2010: (upper panel) Lidar-derived backscattering intensity at 523-nm wavelength, (lower panel) near-surface temperature (\( T_s \) green), upward (blue) and downward (red) longwave radiation (LWU, LWD) and cloud base height (LCBH; black dot) estimated from lidar measurements.

Fig. 6. Frequency distribution (%) of near-surface temperature for cloud-free (blue), LCBH < 2 km (black) and LCBH > 2 km (red) conditions.

analysis (Fig. S1). On the other hand, cold and dry air masses were advected from central Arctic and north of Barents Sea into Ny-Ålesund by north-easterly winds on cloud-free days at Ny-Ålesund (Figs. 8c and S1). This is associated with strongly developed high pressure over Greenland and low pressure over Norwegian Sea and Barents Sea, and a weakening of the Icelandic Low.

The \( \Delta T_s \) was positively correlated with CRF (\( \Delta L WD \)) when calculated from monthly mean data as shown in Fig. 4. It should be noted that the CRF on several warm days may be larger than the monthly average, but may not be accumulated enough to induce surface warming due to abrupt \( T_s \) drop associated with cold air mass advection. To more accurately estimate the effects of clouds on LW fluxes and \( T_s \), regional-scale circulations should be also considered over areas with observed short-period warm and cold air mass advection, such as Ny-Ålesund.
The CF derived from MPL showed distinct monthly variations, having higher CF in summer (July: 0.9) and lower CF in winter (February: 0.79). The SWD flux increased monotonically from March and showed a peak (~200 W m⁻²) in June. In contrast, the LWD flux gradually increased from ~200 W m⁻² in February to ~300 W m⁻² in July.

Both LWD and upward LW (LWU) fluxes and their difference (LWN) increased during winter as the lowest cloud base height (LCBH) decreased and CF increased.

Monthly averaged ΔLWD showed a positive correlation with ΔTₛ (r² = 0.68), whereas the 30-min averaged ΔTₛ and ΔLWD data were more widely scattered even though the coefficient of determination was similar (r² = 0.66). ΔLWU linearly increased as ΔTₛ increased both in 30 min (r² = 0.93) and monthly (r² = 0.92) averaged data, which implies that an increase in LWN flux may influence the Tₛ increase. Although the monthly mean ΔLWD and ΔLWU of this study were similar to those reported in previous studies, the CRF may not be the only driver changing the ΔTₛ from −10 to 15 °C, as shown in the 30-min average data.

Dramatic changes in the Tₛ, CF and LWD flux at Ny-Ålesund on a multi-day time scale were closely linked with cold and warm air mass advection.

The mean Tₛ under low-level clouds (LCBH ≤ about 2 km) was estimated as −7.4 ± 6.1 °C due to relatively warm air masses advected from the North Atlantic Ocean and Barents Sea. In contrast, the mean Tₛ on cloud-free days was −14.5 ± 5.7 °C because of cold air mass advection from the pole. However, the duration of low-level clouds may not be enough to drive Tₛ variations due to switching of cold and warm advection over Ny-Ålesund. 75-percentile (95-percentile) of low-level cloud conditions (LCBH ≤ 2 km) at Ny-Ålesund persisted up to approximately 2.3 (5.8) days, whereas cloud-free and high-altitude cloud (LCBH > 2 km) conditions lasted for 0.8 (2.1) and 0.5 (1.4) days at the 75-percentile (95-percentile), respectively. This implies that shorter episodes may have a much larger CRF than the monthly average, but the CRF during warm air mass advection period may not be accumulated enough to induce surface warming due to abrupt Tₛ drop by cold air mass advection. More explicitly, changes in surface LW fluxes and Tₛ associated with regional-scale circulations may not be reflected in monthly or seasonally averaged data. Therefore, high-temporal resolution cloud and LW flux data should be used to more accurately estimate cloud LW effects in the North Atlantic sector of the Arctic during winter.

Better coordinated observation and modelling studies will be needed to advance our understanding of the effects of cloud LW radiative forcing and air mass advections on surface temperature. Especially, intensive ground-based and airborne observations for vertical profiles of cloud microphysical properties (e.g.

---

Fig. 7. Cumulative frequency distribution (%) of cloud duration (in hours) for cloud-free (blue), LCBH < 2 km (black) and LCBH > 2 km (red).

Fig. 8. Geopotential height and wind field at 850 hPa pressure level over North Atlantic sector of Arctic during winter averaged from 2004 to 2013: (a) overall period, (b) low-level cloud conditions and (c) clear conditions at Ny-Ålesund. The red dot indicates the location of Ny-Ålesund station.
phase and effective radius of cloud particles, ice/liquid water content), meteorological parameters and LW/SW net radiation fluxes over Ny-Ålesund and surrounding regions will help to explain the effect of synoptic circulation on cloudiness at Ny-Ålesund and to more precisely estimate the LW effects of clouds.
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