The kinematic evolution of the Macquarie Plate: A case study for the fragmentation of oceanic lithosphere
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

The tectonic evolution of the Southeast Indian Ridge (SEIR), and in particular of its easternmost edge, has not been constrained by high-resolution shipboard data and therefore the kinematic details of its behavior are uncertain. Using new shipboard magnetic data obtained by R/V Aran and M/V L’Astrolabe along the easternmost SEIR and available archived magnetic data, we estimated the finite rotation parameters of the Macquarie–Antarctic and Australian–Antarctic motions for eight anomalies (1o, 2, 2Ay, 2Ao, 3y, 3o, 3Ay, and 3Ao). These new finite rotations indicate that the Macquarie Plate since its creation ~6.24 million years ago behaved as an independent and rigid plate, confirming previous estimates. The change in the Australian–Antarctic spreading direction from N–S to NW–SE appears to coincide with the formation of the Macquarie Plate at ~6.24 Ma. Analysis of the estimated plate motions indicates that the initiation and growth stages of the Macquarie Plate resemble the kinematic evolution of other microplates and continental breakup, whereby a rapid acceleration in angular velocity took place after its initial formation, followed by a slow decay, suggesting that a decrease in the resistive strength force might have played a significant role in the kinematic evolution of the microplate. The motions of the Macquarie Plate during its growth stages may have been further enhanced by the increased subducting rates along the Hjort Trench, while the Macquarie Plate has exhibited constant growth by seafloor spreading.

\textcopyright 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The south-easternmost corner of the Australian Plate was shaped by complex tectonic processes, including the fragmentation and formation of the Macquarie Plate (Fig. 1) (Cande and Stock, 2004a; DeMets et al., 1988). The major evidence for its formation some 6 Myr ago derives from inconsistencies between the rotation parameters describing the Australia–Antarctica relative motion across the easternmost Southeast Indian Ridge (SEIR), east of the George V Fracture Zone (FZ), compared with those calculated for the rest of the SEIR (Cande and Stock, 2004a). In particular, Cande and Stock (2004a) tested the fit of conjugate magnetic anomalies and fracture zones east of the George V FZ, and found that the Australian–Antarctic rotation produces growing, clockwise misfits on the Australian Plate, suggesting that the Macquarie Plate has moved ~30 km east with respect to the Australian Plate. They concluded that the Macquarie Plate has remained a rigid and independent plate since 6 Ma. The formation of the Macquarie Plate might have resulted from a change in the direction of relative motion between the Australian and Pacific plates, which led to the subduction of young and buoyant oceanic crust into the Hjort Trench (Cande and Stock, 2004a; Hayes et al., 2009).

To reconstruct the tectonic history of the Macquarie Plate, it is crucial to gather shipboard geophysical data along the eastern SEIR, where few data currently exist (e.g., Seton et al., 2014). In this study, we first estimate rotation parameters for eight anomalies (1o, 2, 2Ay, 2Ao, 3y, 3o, 3Ay, and 3Ao, where ‘y’ represents the ‘young’ end of the normal polarity interval and ‘o’ the ‘old end’) identified across the eastern SEIR, using newly acquired shipboard magnetic data between 148°E and 166°E (red lines in Fig. 1). These results constrain the motion between the Macquarie–Antarctic plates for the last 7 Myr and reveal that segment KR1 (east of Balleny FZ, following the segment numbering of Crowley et al. (2015)) of the SEIR (Fig. 1) exhibits a major change in plate motion at ~6.24 Ma, coinciding with the formation of
the Macquarie Plate. To investigate the Australian–Macquarie and Macquarie–Pacific plate motions, we also compute the Australian–Antarctic rotations (along the SEIR, west of ≈140°E) for the same eight anomalies. These new rotation parameters for the Australian–Macquarie–Antarctic plate motions enable us to better understand the formation and kinematic evolution of the Macquarie Plate.

2. Magnetic anomalies across the eastern SEIR

We conducted a series of interdisciplinary surveys between 2011 and 2015, over the eastern SEIR using the R/VIB Aran, collecting geological, geochemical, geophysical, hydrothermal, and biological data (Hahm et al., 2015). To constrain the tectonic history of the Macquarie Plate, two 400 km-long sea surface total field magnetic profiles (Lines A and B in Fig. 1) were obtained across segment KR1 in 2015. Each survey line was planned to gather at least 10 Myr of seafloor spreading records. For segment KR2 (west of the Balleny FZ), we acquired the magnetic data (Line C in Fig. 1) as part of the TACT (Tasmania–Adelie land Corridor Transect) program, using the M/V larvae during the austral summer of 2012.

We reduced the magnetic data to anomalies using the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) model 12 (Thébault et al., 2015). Then, we identified geomagnetic reversals by constructing forward magnetic models (Mendel et al., 2005), and estimated spreading parameters for the surveyed ridge segments (Fig. 2; see the detailed model parameters in Table S1 of the supplementary material). Following Cande and Stock (2004a) and Croon et al. (2008), who investigated the SEIR and the Pacific–Antarctic Ridge east of the study area, respectively, we adopted the geomagnetic polarity time scale of Cande and Kent (1995), except for C3Ay and C3Ao for which we used the updated ages of Krijgsman et al. (1999).

Fig. 2 shows the geomagnetic reversals identified from the observed marine magnetic data. Line A lies mostly on the southern flank of segment KR1 (Fig. 2a) (i.e., the Antarctic Plate), next to the Macquarie Triple Junction (MTJ) of the Australian–Antarctic–Pacific plates (Fig. 1). The identified magnetic anomalies along Line A show the spreading records from the ridge axis to anomaly 50 (10.95 Ma) southward, and to anomaly 10 (0.78 Ma) northward. The average full-spreading rates are estimated to be 63–65 mm/yr (Table S1). Interestingly, a doubled crust, requiring a northward ridge-jump, is identified at ≈6.24 Ma on Line A, which is consistent with the previously proposed timing for the initiation of the Macquarie Plate (Cande and Stock, 2004a). Line B intersects the central part of segment KR1 (Fig. 1), where active hydrothermal venting sites have been reported (Hahm et al., 2015). Full-spreading rates estimated from Line B are relatively constant at 64–66 mm/yr, similarly to Line A (Table S1). The geomagnetic polarities on Line B are symmetric and exhibit magnetic anomalies up to 3o (5.23 Ma) southward, and to 3Ay (6.04 Ma) northward (Fig. 2b). Line C was acquired along the western KR2 segment, and reveals geomagnetic anomalies up to 5o (10.95 Ma) for both its northern and southern flanks (Figs. 1 and 2c). For Line C, unlike Line A, no complicated crustal accretion history was required for forward modeling.

3. Revised Macquarie–Antarctic and Australian–Antarctic rotation parameters

3.1. Methodology

We computed finite rotation parameters for eight anomalies (1o, 2, 2Ay, 2Ao, 3o, 3, 3Ay, and 3Ao) for the Macquarie–Antarctic motion using the newly acquired magnetic data, and the traces of fracture zones determined from the satellite-derived gravity grid (version 23) of Sandwell et al. (2014) (Fig. 3a). In addition, we employed archived magnetic data to expand the spatial and temporal coverage of our analysis, which are listed in the supplementary material. Although useful for filling gaps, we carefully assessed the quality of the archived data before conducting the subsequent analyses. Lastly, a set of short magnetic lines, collected in 2013 from the R/VIB Aran, were included in this study (red dashed lines with red shaded areas, perpendicular to the ridge in Fig. 3a). These data only extend to anomaly 1o (0.78 Ma).

The identified magnetic anomalies and fracture zone crossings were then utilized for computing finite rotation parameters for the Macquarie Plate relative to the Antarctic Plate using the Hellinger method (Hellinger, 1981; Kirkwood et al., 1999; Royer and Chang, 1991). We assigned a 1 km uncertainty to the locations of the new and well-navigated magnetic picks, and 4 km uncertainty to the picks that originated from the poorly navigated archives. Because the location of a FZ trace might be influenced by a long-term deformation integrated over several million years (Lonsdale, 1994), we assigned larger uncertainties to the FZ locations than for the magnetic picks (8 km for the long Balleny FZ and 5 km for the relatively short Tasman FZ; gray dashed lines in Fig. 3a).

Fig. 3 and Table 1 show the best-fit parameters and covariance matrices for the eight finite rotations describing the Macquarie–Antarctica motion. In this study, the second plate in all the considered plate pairs is held fixed, and hence used as the reference frame for each rotation. For example, the Antarctic Plate is fixed for the Macquarie–Antarctic motion. The solutions obtained from the

Hellinger method provide additional statistical information on the accuracy of the assigned errors for magnetic anomalies and fracture zones, denoted as \( \hat{\kappa} \) (Chang, 1987, 1988). If \( \hat{\kappa} \) is close to 1, then the assigned uncertainties are reasonably correct. For underestimated uncertainties, \( \hat{\kappa} \) becomes \(< 1\); for overestimated uncertainties, \( \hat{\kappa} \) becomes \( \gg 1\). For our data, the \( \hat{\kappa} \) values are consistently larger than 1, indicating that the errors are overestimated. We performed the Hellinger computation using a range of assigned errors, and found that although the \( \hat{\kappa} \) values vary (generally between 3 and 7), the resulting pole locations and the sizes of the uncertainty ellipses show only minor differences. Thus, we maintained the uncertainty assignments as described above for computing all the rotations.

For the finite rotation analyses, we follow Croon et al. (2008) and defined the magnetic anomalies of 3y and 3o as the polarity edges of chron C3n.1n (m) (4.24 Ma) and C3n.4n (m) (5.11 Ma), respectively (where ‘m’ stands for the ‘middle’ of the normal polarity interval). This definition enabled us to combine our results with the Pacific–Antarctic rotations (Croon et al., 2008) and to calculate the Macquarie–Pacific relative plate motion.

3.2. Macquarie–Antarctic rotation parameters

Fig. 3a compares the location of our magnetic picks with their rotated conjugate picks. Using the new rotation parameters (Table 1), we find no distinct misfits between the rotated and non-rotated magnetic anomalies (average and maximum misfits are 0.30 and 2.50 km, respectively). In Fig. 3b, we display the new Macquarie–Antarctic finite rotation poles and their confidence ellipses, and compare them with the previous estimates (Cande and Stock, 2004a). Although the poles are spread in a NE–SW direction, their confidence ellipses overlap, meaning that these poles are indistinguishable from one another at the given 95% confidence level. This result further indicates that the direction of plate motion has been relatively consistent since the formation of the Macquarie Plate until the present. The confidence regions of the new Macquarie–Antarctic finite rotation poles overlap those of Cande and Stock (2004a) for anomalies 2Ay (2.58 Ma) and 3Ay (6.04 Ma) (Fig. 3b). The pole for the current motion between the plates (MORVEL, DeMets et al., 2010) is also located within the uncertainty ellipses of the poles of the new finite rotations (see letter ‘M’ in Fig. 3b).

3.3. Australian–Antarctic rotation parameters

Independently of the Macquarie Plate, we estimated the Australian–Antarctic plate motion using data straddling the central SEIR. The available archived magnetic data along the SEIR between the east Geelvinck FZ of 88°E and George V FZ of 140°E (Fig. 4a) obtained from the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) were utilized (see the cruise list in the supplementary material) to calculate the Australian–Antarctic plate motion.
As these magnetic data are not acquired within the diffuse deformation zones of the Capricorn–Australian (Cande and Stock, 2004a; Gordon et al., 2008; Royer and Gordon, 1997) and Australian–Macquarie plates (Cande and Stock, 2004a; DeMets et al., 2010; Hayes et al., 2009), the rotation parameters calculated using these identified picks presumably reflect the Australian–Antarctic plate motion.

Fig. 4 and Table 2 show the best-fit rotation parameters and covariance matrices for the Australian–Antarctic motion. Although we applied the same uncertainty assignment scheme to the magnetic anomalies and fracture zone picks described above, the estimated values for the Australian–Antarctic rotations are mostly close to 1, indicating that the errors were correctly assigned. The lower estimated values for the Australian–Antarctic rotations compared to those for the Macquarie–Antarctic rotations are mainly due to the increased number of relevant FZ segments. For the Macquarie–Antarctic motion, the Balleny and Tasman FZs are only the relevant FZ segments, and hence our estimates are inherently subject to larger uncertainty ellipses.

3.4. Rotation parameters of neighboring plates

Finally, we updated the finite rotation parameters of the Australian–Macquarie, Macquarie–Pacific, and Australian–Pacific motions using our new rotation parameters (Table 2). Because the Macquarie Plate interacts with the Pacific Plate across the Hjort Trench, using the Macquarie–Antarctic–Pacific plate circuit, we combined the Pacific–Antarctic rotations estimated by Croon et al. (2008) with our new Macquarie–Antarctic rotations to compute the Macquarie–Pacific relative plate motions. Fig. 5 shows the updated rotation poles and uncertainty ellipses. In general, compared to Cande and Stock (2004a), the confidence regions for anomalies 2Ay and 3Ay become much smaller and narrower.

Table 1

New finite rotations and covariance matrices for the Macquarie–Antarctic motion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age(Ma)</th>
<th>Magnetic anomaly</th>
<th>Polarity chron</th>
<th>Longitude (°E)</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Angle (degree)</th>
<th>Elements of covariance matrices</th>
<th>Mag. Pts</th>
<th>FZ Pts</th>
<th>Mag. Segs</th>
<th>FZ Segs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1o</td>
<td>C1n (o)</td>
<td>−176.101</td>
<td>−40.071</td>
<td>1.355</td>
<td>3.60                 1.76</td>
<td>−0.80</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>C2n (m)</td>
<td>−152.064</td>
<td>−22.734</td>
<td>1.386</td>
<td>16.1                1.83</td>
<td>−0.91</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>−1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2Ay</td>
<td>C2An.1n (y)</td>
<td>−164.680</td>
<td>−38.842</td>
<td>2.807</td>
<td>3.04                1.05</td>
<td>−0.54</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>−0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>2Ao</td>
<td>C2An.3n (o)</td>
<td>−157.519</td>
<td>−30.398</td>
<td>3.101</td>
<td>1.76                1.38</td>
<td>−0.70</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>−1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>3y</td>
<td>C3n.1n (m)</td>
<td>−158.138</td>
<td>−31.275</td>
<td>3.759</td>
<td>6.76                3.61</td>
<td>−1.72</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>−3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>3o</td>
<td>C3n.4n (m)</td>
<td>−159.043</td>
<td>−32.401</td>
<td>4.680</td>
<td>5.15                2.31</td>
<td>−1.14</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>−2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>3Ay</td>
<td>C3An.1n (y)</td>
<td>−165.016</td>
<td>−39.172</td>
<td>6.435</td>
<td>4.17                1.83</td>
<td>−0.92</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>−1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>3Ao</td>
<td>C3An.2n (o)</td>
<td>−164.003</td>
<td>−38.083</td>
<td>6.937</td>
<td>4.22                3.57</td>
<td>−1.88</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>−3.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The most noticeable change among all the revised rotations is observed from the rotation poles of the Australian–Macquarie motion (Fig. 5a). In the previous work, the Australian–Macquarie rotations exhibited an apparent difference between the pole locations for 2Ay (2.58 Ma) and 3Ay (6.04 Ma) (Cande and Stock, 2004a). We find, however, that these poles are closely located to each other. In addition, the corresponding confidence regions are considerably better constrained, as compared with the previous estimates (see the color-shaded confidence areas (previous study) and those enclosed by yellow and blue solid lines (present study) in Fig. 5a).

Furthermore, our analyses exhibit a shortened distance between the Australian–Macquarie rotation poles of anomalies 2Ay and 3Ay, and place the new 3Ay southeast of the previous 3Ay (Cande and Stock, 2004a, Fig. 5a). Cande and Stock (2004a) suggested that moving the 3Ay pole farther east from the obtained best-fit location would be necessary to be consistent with the compressive deformation on the seafloor near 147°–148°E, 51°–52°S (see the black box in Fig. 6a). Such an adjustment of our result would resolve or reduce the mismatch between the predicted plate motion and the geophysical evidence for the existence of compressive structures.

4. Tectonic implications for the Macquarie Plate

The eastern boundary of the Macquarie Plate is well defined and runs along the Hjort Trench that extends south of the Macquarie Ridge (Fig. 6a). For the western boundary, we computed synthetic flowlines over several FZs using the Macquarie–Antarctic and Australian–Antarctic motions (Fig. 6a). The simulated flowlines from the Australian–Antarctic motion do not match with the Balleny and Tasman FZs (green solid lines in Fig. 6a), as previously noted in other studies (Cande and Stock, 2004a; Hayes et al., 2009), suggesting that the western boundary of the Macquarie Plate is located west of the Tasman FZ. Similarly, the simulated flowlines from the Macquarie–Antarctic motion do not match the St. Vincent and George V FZs, illustrating that the western end of the Macquarie Plate is located east of St. Vincent FZ.

The southern boundary of the Macquarie Plate was assigned to the KR1 and KR2 spreading segments, while the diffuse northern boundary has been poorly defined as the broad area between 50°S and 55°S, characterized by widespread intraplate earthquakes (Cande and Stock, 2004a). Using historical earthquakes since 1901 (from the U.S. Geological Survey) and the focal mechanisms since 1976 (from Global CMT solutions; Dziewonski et al., 1981), we estimate a diffuse zone between the Australian and Macquarie plates, extending between 50°S and 58°S, as the northern boundary of the Macquarie Plate (Fig. 6a).

Our finite rotation models indicate that the Macquarie Plate rotated clockwise with respect to the Australian Plate (Table 2). The rotation poles of the Australian–Macquarie motion are located within the diffused boundary zone between the two plates. The lithosphere west of the rotation poles, thus, inherently accommodates convergence (black arrow in Fig. 6a), whereas the lithosphere east of the poles accommodates divergence (white arrow in Fig. 6a) (e.g., Gordon et al., 2008; Royer and Gordon, 1997). In the conver-
gent region, as noted by Cande and Stock (2004a), our tectonic inference seems to be consistent with compressive deformation of the seafloor sediments imaged by single-channel seismic profiles on Eltanin cruise 53 (see the black box in Fig. 6a for the location). In the divergent region, Valenzuela and Wyssen (1993) suggested that the lineations of broad gravity highs west of the Macquarie Ridge, which are consistent with the focal mechanisms near the Macquarie Ridge, could be indicative of extensional deformation of the crust. This coincides with the given sense of plate motion (white arrow in Fig. 6a).

Using the new finite rotation between the Australian and Macquarie plates, we reconstruct the relative motion between the plates in the diffuse zone from anomalies 3Ay and 2Ay to the present (Figs. 6b–c). The predicted motions between the Australian and Macquarie plates seem to show that the area west of the rotation pole in the diffuse zone, which includes the region obtained in the profile from Eltanin cruise 53, has been under a compressional regime (Fig. 6b), whereas extensional motion has been exerted, depending on the orientation of the feature that accommodated the motion, within the area east of the diffuse zone (Fig. 6c). Interestingly, the northeastern part of the diffuse zone was suggested to be connected with a propagating tear extending from southwest New Zealand (Hayes et al., 2009; Malservisi et al., 2003).

### 5. Kinematic evolution of the Macquarie Plate

In Fig. 7a, we predict the location of isochrons using the finite rotation model of the Macquarie–Antarctic plates for the last ~10 Myr, by rotating the ridge axis of segment KR1 to the Antarctic Plate. The predicted isochrons are shown as black lines in Fig. 7a, whereas blue lines indicate the observed magnetic isochrons. For older isochrons (4A, 5y, and 5o), we extrapolated the rotation parameter of 3Ao to predict their locations (see red lines in Fig. 7a). Interestingly, this comparison between the predicted and observed magnetic isochrons reveals a sudden change in the spreading direction of segment KR1 between 4A (8.86 Ma) and 3Ay (6.04 Ma). Until ~6 Ma the observed magnetic picks are closely approximated by the predicted isochrons, whereas the predicted locations for 4A, 5y, and 5o show large offsets from the observed locations. This primarily confirms that the Macquarie Plate was formed at ~6 Ma, because the Macquarie–Antarctic plate motion is not adequate to predict the older isochrons. As the northward spreading prior to ~6 Ma started to be obstructed by the northward younging of its western margin (Cande and Stock, 2004a; Hayes et al., 2009), the seafloor spreading of segment KR1 might have been reorganized by rift propagation at ~6.24 Ma, which was incorporated as a ridge-jump into the forward model (Table S1). In addition to the magnetic evidence from Line A (Fig. 2a), the high-resolution multi-beam and satellite-
derived free-air gravity data (see the inset in Fig. 7a) show a significant depression of the seafloor, bounded by steep cliffs. Such bathymetric variation may be directly associated with the corresponding rift propagation (Miller and Hey, 1986).

Rift propagation systems commonly develop a pair of pseudofaults between the young and older plates, creating age contrasts without actual relative motions between the plates (Hey, 2004; Matthews et al., 2016). Such well-developed pseudofaults are not found around the site of proposed rift propagator leading to ambiguity in its tectonic interpretation. One possible tectonic scenario that could explain these observations is a rapid propagation model (Fig. 7b). Based on the relationship between spreading rates and propagation rates expressed as $\alpha = \tan^{-1}(u/v)$ (Miller and Hey, 1986), the propagation rate ($v$) at the eastern KR1 segment is estimated to be faster than 100 mm/yr using the half-spreading rate ($u$) of $\sim 32$ mm/yr and half-angle of the propagation wedge ($\alpha$) of $\sim 15^\circ$ measured from the bathymetric and gravity data (Fig. 7a). At intermediate- and fast-spreading ridges, if a ridge propagates at rates greater than $\sim 50$% of its corresponding full-spreading rate, then an asymmetric pseudofault can be formed as a linear trough (Matthews et al., 2016; Phipps Morgan and Sandwell, 1994). In this tectonic scenario, rapid rift propagation at $\sim 6.24$ Ma, which initiated from the MTJ or a fracture adjoining the northeastern KR1, produced the observed trough (Fig. 7b). In addition, the small age gap of $\sim 0.2$ Myr between the new rift and the pre-existing spreading center may explain the small negative gravity anomalies (Matthews et al., 2016; Phipps Morgan and Sandwell, 1994).

Alternatively, the observed ridge-jump (Fig. 2a) may be associated with an episodic migration of the MTJ. In Fig. 7c, we traced the roughly V-shaped seafloor lineations (dashed lines) from the vertical gravity gradient (VGG) data (version 23; Sandwell et al., 2014). If we consider the southern straight traces to be a linear outer pseudofault (OPF), then this OPF marks the WSW propagation of a new segment initiated near the MTJ prior to anomaly 5. Then, at anomaly 5, the propagation reversed as segment KR1 propagated to the ENE at the expense of the new segment, producing complex seafloor lineations that are apparent as an inner pseudofault (IPF). The traces of the IPF disappear at anomaly 3A, where the doubling of crust was found (yellow dashed line in Fig. 7c). In addition, another bathymetric low between anomalies 4y and 4o in Fig. 2a matches one of the IPF traces. This observation may indicate that MTJ migration contributed to the formation of the Macquarie Plate (e.g., Hey, 2004; Tebbens et al., 1997; Tebbens and Cande, 1997).

Unfortunately, the currently available data are not sufficient to either validate the proposed rapid propagation model (Fig. 7b) or to determine the interplay, if any, between the MTJ migration and formation of the Macquarie Plate (Fig. 7c). Finally, the changes in seafloor spreading direction and formation of the Macquarie Plate must have been predominantly accommodated by the Balleny and Tasman FZs, as no propagators are found near the central and western parts of the microplate. These unresolved tectonic problems, thus, should be studied in future works.

The detailed kinematic model of the Macquarie Plate since its formation until the present may provide new insights into the fragmentation of the oceanic lithosphere. One of the most relevant tectonic analog is that of microplate formation. For instance, Bird et al. (1998) presented the three-phase tectonic history of the Juan Fernandez (JF) Microplate. In response to a change in the Pacific-
Nazca spreading direction, the JF Microplate formed and grew by rapidly propagating rifts, exhibiting slow microplate rotation during phase 1 (∼6.0–2.6 Ma) (Bird et al., 1998). Subsequently, the microplate rotation increased by a factor of three with a series of plate boundary reorganizations during phase 2 (2.6–11 Ma), and decreased to the current rate due to increased coupling between the JF Microplate and the Antarctic Plate during phase 3 (11 Ma to present). Interestingly, a similar pattern, involving a rapid increase in spreading rates followed by a long decay, has been observed in several incipient oceanic basins (e.g., Brune et al., 2016; Granot and Dymtent, 2015) and was attributed to the nonlinear decay of the resistive rift strength force (Brune et al., 2016). The Macquarie Plate appears to have undergone similar stages of kinematic evolution. We converted the finite rotation models estimated in this study (Tables 1 and 2) to corresponding stage poles and angular velocities with uncertainties (Fig. 8) using the rotoconverter program in GMT (Wessel et al., 2013) and Hellinger’s addrot program (Kirkwood et al., 1999), respectively. For the Macquarie–Antarctic rotation (Fig. 8a), the angular velocity of the Macquarie Plate relative to the fixed Antarctic Plate increased by ∼2.5 times between anomaly 3Ay (6.04 Ma) and anomaly 3y (4.24 Ma) compared to the rates prior to anomaly 3Ay (6.04 Ma), after the reorganization of the spreading direction at segment KR1 was completed at ∼6.24 Ma.

Since the formation of the Macquarie Plate, no distinct change in the Macquarie–Antarctic spreading direction has been observed (Fig. 3b), and hence no further reorganization is needed. As the rate of the JF Microplate rotation decreased to its present rate during the last phase, the Macquarie Plate also exhibits a similar systematic reduction in angular velocity (<1°/Myr) of the Macquarie Plate relative to the Antarctic Plate after another abrupt increase between anomalies 2Ay (2.58 Ma) and 2 (1.86 Ma) (Fig. 8a). In addition, such temporal changes in the Macquarie–Antarctic angular velocity are only apparent in the motions of immediately neighboring plates (Figs. 8b–c), whereas the angular velocities between the other large plates have been relatively stable, with no significant changes during and after the generation of the Macquarie Plate (Figs. 8a–b).

It is notable that the Macquarie Plate has been growing at a constant rate by seafloor spreading (see spreading rates in Table S1), whereas the Macquarie–related rotation exhibits temporal variations (Figs. 8b–c). Besides the possible decrease in the resistive strength force, variations in slab-pull forces along the Hjort Trench may have contributed to the increase in angular velocity. As
such, the ridge-push from the southern boundary at intermediate spreading rates may not have been sufficient to induce large-scale lithospheric deformation by compression in the west and extension in the east of the diffuse boundary area (Fig. 6). Meckel et al. (2003) showed that the northern and central Hjort Trench, characterized by low-angle oblique-slip faults, is more evolved than the southern part of the trench, which may represent incipient subduction of the Macquarie Plate. Such spatial changes in subduction along the Hjort Trench appear to be consistent with the clockwise Macquarie-Pacific plate rotation. If such slab-pull effects existed, they could be another factor affecting the angular velocities of the Macquarie-Pacific rotation. The newly estimated Macquarie-Pacific motion shows the motions of the Macquarie Plate relative to the Pacific Plate are more toward the Pacific Plate along the northern Hjort Trench than along the southern part of the trench (see red lines in Fig. 7d). These Pacific-ward motions may be an indicative of such slab-full effects.

In addition, previous studies suggested that the changes of the relative plate motion and absolute plate motion across the circum-Pacific rim have occurred at ~6 Ma, ~2.6 Ma, and ~0.78 Ma (Cande et al., 1995; Wessel and Kroenke, 2000); interestingly, these timings coincide with the temporal variation in the angular velocity of Macquarie-involved plate motions (Fig. 8).

Among the main triggers of microplate formation including plate reorganization, triple junction migration, plume activity, and fast spreading (Hey, 2004; Matthews et al., 2016), the first two...
processes appear to be most relevant with the kinematic evolution of the Macquarie Plate. According to previous studies, around C3A (~6 Ma) the SEIR appeared to undergo a clockwise change in spreading direction of 20°, with an increase in spreading rate (Cande and Kent, 1992). At the same time, there was another clockwise change in spreading direction of the Pacific–Antarctic Ridge (Austermann et al., 2011; Cande et al., 1995; Cande and Stock, 2004b; Croon et al., 2008; Lodolo and Coren, 1997; Wessel and Kroenke, 2000). At the Pacific–Nazca boundary, a change in spreading direction at ~6 Ma caused the formation of microplates, such as the Easter (Bird and Naar, 1994; Naar and Hey, 1991; Neves et al., 2003; Rusby and Searle, 1995) and Juan Fernandez (JF) microplates (Bird et al., 1998; Bird and Naar, 1994; Searle et al., 1993). The formation of the JF Microplate was triggered by a change in the Pacific–Nazca spreading direction of ~5°. Similarly, a ~10° change in the Australian–Antarctic spreading direction, as estimated from segment K91, appears to have initiated the generation of the Macquarie Plate. In addition, the relationship between MTJ migration and formation of the Macquarie Plate needs to be evaluated with better data coverage.

6. Conclusions

We analyzed new shipboard magnetic data collected at segments K91 and K92 of the easternmost Southeast Indian Ridge (SEIR). For eight anomalies (1a, 2, 2Aa, 2Ao, 3y, 3o, 3Ay, and 3Ao), we determined finite rotation parameters for the Macquarie–Antarctic and Australian–Antarctic plate pairs using archived and newly acquired magnetic anomalies. We then summed these rotation parameters to revise the Australian–Macquarie, Macquarie–Pacific, and Australian–Pacific motions. Compared to previous analyses, the updated rotation poles exhibit more tightly located poles for anomalies 2Ay and 3Ay, with significantly smaller and narrower confidence regions.

The change in Australian–Antarctic spreading direction from N–S to NW–SE appears to initiate the formation of the Macquarie Plate at ~6.24 Ma. The stage poles estimated from the new finite rotations exhibit the temporal change of angular velocity for the Macquarie–related motions, which may indicate that the resisting force of young oceanic crust against subduction at the Hjort Trench sustained only the initial development stage of the Macquarie Plate. During the growth stage, we speculate that the independent motion of the Macquarie Plate was enhanced by the increased subducting rates at the Hjort Trench and the decrease in the resistive strength, while the Macquarie Plate has exhibited constant growth by seafloor spreading.

The Macquarie Plate has a unique tectonic setting, consisting of two spreading axes to the south, a diffuse boundary to the north, and subduction and transform faults in the other two sides of the plate. This may have resulted in the differential development processes of the new plate boundaries of the Macquarie Plate, as compared with other oceanic microplates.
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