Endophytic bacterial diversity of an Antarctic moss, Sanionia uncinata

MIRA PARK, HYOUNGSEOK LEE, SOON GYU HONG and OK-SUN KIM*

Division of Life Sciences, Korea Polar Research Institute, Get-pearl Tower, Songdo Techno Park, 12 Gaetbeol-ro, Yonsoo-gu, Incheon 406-840, Republic of Korea *corresponding author: oskim@kopri.re.kr

Abstract: Although the beneficial effects of endophytic bacteria on their host are significant, the investigation of the microbial diversity in any Antarctic moss has been neglected. In this study, we investigate the endophytic bacterial diversity of the upper green part and the lower brown part of *Sanionia uncinata* through 16S rRNA genes using pyrosequencing. *Proteobacteria* was the most dominant phylum with 65.6%, followed by *Bacteroidetes* (29.1%) and *Actinobacteria* (11.7%). The different distribution of *Alphaproteobacteria* between the upper green (2%) and lower brown (22.2%) parts of the moss was significant. Furthermore, dominant and diverse species were detected and closely related to the environmental sequences. These findings suggest that there are likely to be specific relationships between endophytes and host Antarctic moss species.

Received 14 January 2012, accepted 4 July 2012, first published online 25 October 2012

Key words: 16S rRNA, bacterial endophytes, moss gametophore, pyrosequencing, surface decontamination

Introduction

Endophytic bacteria are bacteria that can be isolated from surface-disinfected plant tissues or extracted from within the plants and that are not observed to harm the host. These bacteria, which generally colonize the intercellular spaces, have been isolated from all plant tissues and from many plant species (Rosenblueth & Martínez-Romero 2006). The beneficial effects of bacterial endophytes on their host appear to occur directly through mechanisms described as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) (Höflich *et al.* 1994), or indirectly by antagonistic activity against one or more phytopathogenic organisms (Reiter *et al.* 2002). However, the ecophysiological significance of endophytes in plant communities remains unclear.

In Antarctica the moss flora comprises relatively few species of wide ecological amplitude, widespread around the continent. Antarctic moss research has been carried out on the taxonomy and the biogeography (Ochyra *et al.* 2008), the high UV tolerance (Clarke & Robinson 2008), and the impact of climatic warming on the carbon balance (Nakatsubo 2002). However, to our knowledge there is no report on identifying the endophytic bacterial community of an Antarctic moss species.

In this study, we have chosen *Sanionia uncinata* (Hedw.) Loeske as a representative Antarctic moss species, as it is a dominant moss species distributed widely over Antarctica and has a global geographic distribution (Hedenäs 2012). To compare the patterns of endophytic bacteria between the phyllosphere and the rhizosphere, we have divided the moss gametophore into the upper green (UG) part and the lower brown (LB) part.

Materials & methods

Samples of S. uncinata were collected from a wet moss area in December 2010 on King George Island, South Shetland Islands (62°13.566'S, 58°47.29'W). After dividing into the UG and the LB parts, gametophytes were washed with tap water to remove attached soil and sterilized using ethanol bleach and alkaline lysis buffer (Hollants et al. 2010). Samples were soaked in 70% ethanol for 3 min, washed with fresh sodium hypochlorite solution (2.5% available Cl- for 5 min, rinsed with 70% ethanol for 30 sec. and finally washed five times with sterile distilled water (ethanol bleach, parts b and e in Fig. 1), or heated with 240 ml sterile distilled water and 60 ml alkaline lysis buffer (1 M NaOH and 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate) for 15 min at 95°C (lysis treatment, parts c and f in Fig. 1). In order to evaluate various methods of surface decontamination, we tested their effectiveness by incubating moss on tryptic soy agar medium plates at 30°C for three days, followed by DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) staining under a fluorescence microscope. As lysis buffer showed the most effective elimination of epiphytic organisms and only a trace of DAPI fluorescence by genomic DNA remnant, we applied this method.

Total DNA extraction from moss gametophore UG and LB parts was performed using DNeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen, CA, USA), followed by PCR amplification and pyrosequencing (Na *et al.* 2011). Each operational taxonomic unit (OTU) was taxonomically assigned using the EzTaxon-e database (http://eztaxon-e.ezbiocloud.net, accessed November 2011) (Kim *et al.* 2012) after applying TBC (taxonomic based clustering) program (Lee *et al.* 2012).

Treatment aggressiveness



Fig. 1. Incubation of **a**. untreated, **b**. ethanol bleach, and **c**. lysis treatment of *S*. *uncinata* on tryptic soy agar plates. Fluorescence microscopy images of **d**. untreated, **e**. ethanol bleach, and **f**. lysis treatment stained with DAPI. Scale bars: 0.5 cm (a, b, c), 20 μm (d, e, f).

Results

A total of 3957 bacterial reads, 1684 and 2273 reads from UG and LB parts, respectively, were recovered with high quality. These phylotypes represented a number of phyla with

Proteobacteria, mainly classes of *Alpha-, Beta-* and *Gammaproteobacteria*, accounting for the vast majority of reads with 65.6% of the total (Fig. 2), which was consistent with other studies from plants (Idris *et al.* 2004). *Bacteroidetes* and *Actinobacteria* were strongly represented



Fig. 2. The relative abundances of various bacterial lineages in phylum level recovered from **a**. the upper green part, and **b**. the lower brown part of *Sanionia uncinata*.

UG	LB	OTUs	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Species	Acc No	Identity %
12.47	3.56	OTU_001	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Pseudomonadales	Moraxellaceae	Acinetobacter	A. johnsonii	X81663	99
6.83		OTU_002	Proteobacteria	Betaproteobacteria	Burkholderiales	Comamonadaceae	Acidovorax	Acidovorax radicis	HM027578	100
0.06	10.25	OTU_003	Proteobacteria	Alphaproteobacteria	SAR11	SAR11-1_f	EU800386_g	EU800040_s	EU800040	100
8.85	2.73	OTU_004	Bacteroidetes	Flavobacteria	Flavobacteriales	Flavobacteriaceae	Flavobacterium		EU109724	95
7.96	2.9	OTU_005	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Pseudomonadales	Pseudomonadaceae	Pseudomonas	Pseudomonas fragi	AF094733	99
9.2	0.04	OTU_006	Bacteroidetes	Flavobacteria	Flavobacteriales	Flavobacteriaceae	Flavobacterium		HM627539	95
0.83	5.68	OTU_007	Bacteroidetes	Flavobacteria	Flavobacteriales	Flavobacteriaceae	Flavobacterium		4P000654	96
3.21	3.52	OTU_009	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Pseudomonadales	Pseudomonadaceae	Pseudomonas	EF471903_s	EF471903	99
0.18	4.84	OTU_010	Bacteroidetes	Flavobacteria	Flavobacteriales	Brumimicrobiaceae	Fluviicola	F. taffensis	CP002542	99
5.52	0.09	OTU_011	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacteriales	Enterobacteriaceae	Pectobacterium	P. carotovorum	AJ223407	98
0.18	3.3	OTU_012	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Xanthomonadales	Xanthomonadaceae	Arenimonas	DQ833483_s	DQ833483	97
3.27	0.66	OTU_013	Bacteroidetes	Flavobacteria	Flavobacteriales	Flavobacteriaceae	Flavobacterium		AB075230	97
0.12	2.68	OTU_014	Bacteroidetes	Flavobacteria	Flavobacteriales	Flavobacteriaceae	Flavobacterium	Flavobacterium frigoris	AJ557887	100
0.06	2.33	OTU_015	Proteobacteria	Alphaproteobacteria	Rhodobacterales	Rhodobacteraceae	Tropicibacter	EU799811_s	EU799811	99
0.65	1.9	OTU_016	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Micrococcales	Microbacteriaceae	Frigoribacterium	Frigoribacterium faeni	Y18807	97
≥10%	≥5	≥1	≥0.5 ≥0.1	<0.1						

Fig. 3. Heat plot showing the 15 most dominant operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in the upper green (UG) part and the lower brown (LB) part with their relative abundances of each OTU. The colour code paling from green to white indicates the highest to lowest relative abundance values.

at 29.1% and 11.7%, respectively. Interestingly, the distribution of the division of *Alphaproteobacteria* between the UG part (3%) and the LB part (22.15%) was remarkably different (Fig. 2), suggesting that this division in the LB part might be a significant constituent of the bacterial community in this ecosystem. It is still unclear if this is caused by the specificity of endophytes only in moss in general or in *S. uncinata* specifically, or by the extreme conditions for moss in Antarctica. In order to clarify this, additional studies across a number of Antarctic moss species need to be carried out.

Proceeding to a higher resolution of species levels with the heat plot in Fig. 3, the proportion of each OTU in UG and LB parts was slightly different. Dominant and diverse OTUs in this study were closely related with sequences characterized only by uncultured or environmental clones.

Discussion

Antarctic moss provides a novel resource to help clarify the role of endophytes and their interaction in each part of their host. Furthermore, the SAR11 clade, well known from its dominance in seawater (Morris *et al.* 2002), were detected at 10.5% in the LB part (but only 0.06% in the UG part), which might be concerned as one of the dominant microorganisms in the UG part of Antarctic moss. In general, *Alphaproteobacteria* were dominant in the studies of endophytic bacteria in lichens (Cardinale *et al.* 2008) and plants (Ikeda *et al.* 2010) so Antarctic mosses follow that pattern.

Overall, endophytic bacteria in the Antarctic moss were more diverse than we had expected, and it seemed possible that there are specific relationships between endophytes and the region they inhabit in the host moss species.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Korea Polar Research Institute (Grant PE11060 and PE11030). The technical help of Ahnna Cho is gratefully acknowledged. The constructive comments of the reviewer are also gratefully acknowledged.

References

- CARDINALE, M., DE CASTRO, JR, J.V., MÜLLER, H., BERG, G. & GRUBE, M. 2008. *In situ* analysis of the bacterial community associated with the reindeer lichen *Cladonia arbuscula* reveals predominance of Alphaproteobacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, **66**, 63–71.
- CLARKE, L.J. & ROBINSON, S.A. 2008. Cell wall-bound ultraviolet-screening compounds explain the high ultraviolet tolerance of the Antarctic moss, *Ceratodon purpureus*. New Phytologist, **179**, 776–783.
- HEDENÄS, L. 2012. Global phylogeography in Sanionia uncinata (Amblystegiaceae: Bryophyta). Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 168, 19–42.
- Höflich, G., Wiehe, W. & Kühn, G. 1994. Plant growth stimulation by inoculation with symbiotic and associative rhizosphere mechanisms. *Experientia*, **50**, 897–905.

- HOLLANTS, J., LELIAERT, F., DE CLERCK, O. & WILLEMS, A. 2010. How endo- is endo-? Surface sterilization of delicate samples: a *Bryopsis* (Bryopsidales, Chlorophyta) case study. *Symbiosis*, **51**, 131–138.
- IDRIS, R., TRIFONOVA, R., PUSCHENREITER, M., WENZEL, W.W. & SESSITSCH, A. 2004. Bacterial communities associated with flowering plants of the Ni hyperaccumulator *Thlaspi goesingense*. *Environmental Microbiology*, 70, 2667–2677.
- IKEDA, S., OKUBO, T., ANDA, M., NAKASHITA, H., YASUDA, M., SATO, S., KANEKO, T., TABATA, S., EDA, S., MOMIYAMA, A., TERASAWA, K., MITSUI, H. & MINAMISAWA, K. 2010. Community- and genome-based views of plantassociated bacteria: plant-bacterial interactions in soybean and rice. *Plant* & *Cell Physiology*, **51**, 1398–1410.
- KIM, O.S., CHO, Y.J., LEE, K., YOON, S.H., KIM, M., NA, H., PARK, S.C., JEON, Y.S., LEE, J.H., YI, H., WON, S. & CHUN, J. 2012. Introducing EzTaxon-e: a prokaryotic 16S rRNA gene sequence database with phylotypes that represent uncultured species. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, **62**, 716–721.
- LEE, J.H., YI, H., JEON, Y.S. & CHUN, J. 2012. TBS: a clustering algorithm based on prokaryotic taxonomy. *Journal of Microbiology*, 50, 181–185.

- MORRIS, R.M., RAPPE, M.S., CONNON, S.A., VERGIN, K.L., SIEBOLD, W.A., CARLSON, C.A. & GIOVANNONI, S.J. 2002. SAR11 clade dominates ocean surface bacterioplankton communities. *Nature*, 420, 806–810.
- NA, H., KIM, O.S., YOON, S.H., KIM, Y. & CHUN, J. 2011. Comparative approach to capture bacterial diversity of coastal waters. *Journal of Microbiology*, 49, 729–740.
- NAKATSUBO, T. 2002. Predicting the impact of climatic warming on the carbon balance of the moss *Sanionia uncinata* on a Maritime Antarctic island. *Journal of Plant Research*, **115**, 99–106.
- OCHYRA, R., LEWIS-SMITH, R.I. & BEDNAREK-OCHYRA, H. 2008. *The illustrated moss flora of Antarctica*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 685 pp.
- REITER, B., PFEIFER, U., SCHWAB, H. & SESSITSCH, A. 2002. Response of endophytic bacterial communities in potato plants to infection with *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *atroseptica. Environmental Microbiology*, 68, 2261–2268.
- ROSENBLUETH, M. & MARTÍNEZ-ROMERO, E. 2006. Bacterial endophytes and their interactions with hosts. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 19, 827–837.