MICROSATELLITE LETTERS



Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci from the chinstrap penguin, *Pygoscelis antarctica*

Seunghyun Kang · Jin-Woo Jung · Do Hwan Ahn · Jong Eun Lee · Jeong-Hoon Kim · Hyun Park

Received: 6 January 2015/Accepted: 7 January 2015/Published online: 21 January 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

Abstract Sixteen polymorphic microsatellite loci were isolated and characterized for the chinstrap penguin, *Pygoscelis antarctica*. The number of alleles per locus ranged from two to nine. The observed and expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.136 to 0.864 and from 0.208 to 0.831, respectively. Cross-species amplification was successful in other penguin species, including *Pygoscelis papua*, *Pygoscelis adelie*, and *Eudyptes chrysolophus*. The microsatellite markers developed in this study can be used in future conservation studies.

Keywords *Pygoscelis antarctica* · Pygoscelid · Chinstrap penguin · Microsatellites

The chinstrap penguin, *Pygoscelis antarctica*, is one of three pygoscelid penguin species predominant in the Antarctic Peninsula and surrounding islands. Although global population sizes have increased, some island or peninsular populations directly influenced by reduced sea ice cover have undergone a sudden decrease (Korczak-Abshire et al. 2012). We isolated and characterized 16 polymorphic

Division of Polar Life Sciences, Korea Polar Research Institute, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon 406-840, South Korea e-mail: jhkim94@kopri.re.kr

H. Park e-mail: hpark@kopri.re.kr

D. H. Ahn · H. Park Polar Sciences, University of Science & Technology, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-333, South Korea

J. E. Lee DNA Link, Inc., Songpa-gu, Seoul 138-736, South Korea microsatellite loci in P. antarctica using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform (Illumina, San Diago, CA, USA) for use in future conservation studies. Blood samples from seven individuals were collected from Narebski Point (an Antarctic Specially Protected Area, 62°14'S, 58°48'W) on the Barton Peninsula on King George Island in the austral summer 2009-2010. Blood samples were taken from the wing vein using a syringe and stored in EDTA tubes. Total DNA was extracted from the blood samples using the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). Isolation of microsatellite regions was conducted using ODD3 software (Meglécz et al.2014). Thirty microsatellite regions showing possible variation between individual sequences were selected for use in the following procedures. Variability of the loci was screened by genotyping 23 individuals from the same locality. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers for the amplifications were designed using Primer 3 software (Rozen and Skaletsky 2000). The forward primers included M13 tails attached at the 5' end (FAM: TTTCCCAGTCACGACGTTG, VIC: T AAAACGACGGCCAGTGC and PET: GCGGATAAC AATTTCACACAGG), and the reverse primers were pigtailed at the 5' end (GTTTCTT). A multiplex PCR was performed in a reaction mixture (final volume of 16 µL) containing 8 µL of 2× Multiplex PCR master mix (Qiagen), 50 ng of DNA template, 0.05 µM of each M13-tailed forward primer, 0.5 µM of each pigtailed reverse primer, and 0.1 µM of each fluorescently-labeled M13 primer. The PCR cycle conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 15 min, 41 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at a specific temperature for 90 s (seven cycles at 65 °C, seven cycles at 61 °C, seven cycles at 58 °C and 21 cycles at 55 °C), elongation at 72 °C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72 °C for 20 min. The PCR products were genotyped using a 3130xl Genetic Analyzer

S. Kang · J.-W. Jung · D. H. Ahn · J.-H. Kim (🖂) ·

H. Park (🖂)

| Locus | Primer sequences (5'-3') | Repeat | N _A | Size range (bp) | H _O | H_E | GenBank accession number |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Multiplex set 1 | | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc02 | FAM-CTGGCGGAGCTTCTTACTTG | $(AT)^9$ | 2 | 111-113 | 0.455 | 0.397 | KP316211 |
| | CTGACATTATGTTGAGCCTTGC | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc11 | VIC-GGGGGAGTGCATATCAGAAA | (AT) ¹⁰ | 4 | 192–198 | 0.455* | 0.567 | KP316215 |
| | CATCAAAGAATTCGCCTTGG | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc24 | PET-TGAACAAAGGGAAAGGCAAC | $(AC)^9$ | 3 | 322-326 | 0.591 | 0.582 | KP316221 |
| | CTGGGGCAAGGAATACTGAC | | | | | | |
| Multiplex set 2 | | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc03 | FAM-TCTTTGTGCTTTGAGTCCCA | $(AC)^8$ | 3 | 114-118 | 0.409 | 0.478 | KP316212 |
| GCT | GCTACTGCAGAGCAATTCACA | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc16 | rc16 VIC-TTTGAGATGCTGGGAAAAAGA (AC) ¹⁸ 9 208–226 | 208-226 | 0.727 | 0.826 | KP316217 | | |
| , 8 | CAGACTGCATGGAGAAGCAA | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc22 | PET-TGTGAGGGTGCTTGTGTGAT | (AC) ¹¹ | 2 | 325-330 | 0.136 | 0.268 | KP316220 |
| | GGGAGTTTTTCTCCCAGTCC | | | | | | |
| Multiplex set 3 | | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc19 | VIC-GTATTCCCATGCAGGCTTTG | (ACAGAT) ⁵ | 4 | 206-236 | 0.409 | 0.447 | KP316219 |
| | CAAGCGTGTTTTTGTTTGCT | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc26 | PET-ATTGCTGTGGGTCATTTGTG | $(AT)^6$ | 3 | 333–338 | 0.227 | 0.208 | KP316223 |
| | CAAGTCTCCAACTCAATGAACA | | | | | | |
| Multiplex set 4 | | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc06 | FAM-ACCTGACGATAGCTGGCCTA | $(AC)^5$ | 2 | 119–121 | 0.409 | 0.325 | KP316213 |
| | TTCAACAGGGAAACAGAAGTGT | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc15 | Pygantarc15 VIC-AAGATCTGCCTCCTGCATTC (AAT) ¹⁴ | $(AAT)^{14}$ | 8 | 232-253 | 0.909 | 0.831 | KP316216 |
| | CAATGGCTGATTCCCCAATA | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc28 | PET-TCCTCTTTGGAGAGGCAACT (AC) ⁸ 3 392-413 | 392-413 | 0.682 | 0.515 | KP316225 | | |
| | AGGTGGGAAAAGCAGCATC | | | | | | |
| Multiplex set 5 | | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc07 | FAM-CCCATCAGAGTGACGTTCAA | (AAGG) ⁶ | 2 | 125–133 | 0.545 | 0.496 | KP316214 |
| | TATTTGACAGCCTTCCTGCC | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc18 | VIC-TCGGCTGTTTCACTTTTTCA | (AGAT) ¹² | 5 | 256-272 | 0.864* | 0.702 | KP316218 |
| | CAAGCAATCCATTTGCACTT | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc25 | PET-TCAGTGAATAACTGGCAAGCA | $(AG)^8$ | 3 | 413-427 | 0.455 | 0.555 | KP316222 |
| | TGTGCTAGTTTGCGACCAGA | | | | | | |
| Multiplex set 6 | | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc27 | PET-TGGGAGACTGTGCTTCCATT | $(AC)^9$ | 4 | 386-391 | 0.500 | 0.507 | KP316224 |
| | TTCAGTGGGTCTTCCAAATGT | | | | | | |
| Multiplex set 7 | | | | | | | |
| Pygantarc29 | PET-TGTCCAAAATCCTGGAAAGTG | (AG) ¹⁰ | 3 | 308-316 | 0.591 | 0.616 | KP316226 |
| | CCAAAAGAGCCCTGAGCA | | | | | | |
| Mean | | | 3.75 | | 0.523 | 0.520 | |

Table 1 Characterization of 16 microsatellite loci from Pygoscelis antarctica

 N_A number of alleles, H_O observed heterozygosity, H_E expected heterozygosity

* Significant departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (p < 0.05)

(Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and the sizes were scored using GeneMapper v4.0 Software (Applied Biosystems). The number of alleles (N_A) and observed (H_o) and expected heterozygosities (H_E) were estimated using GenAlEx 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse 2012) and Hardy– Weinberg equilibrium tests were performed using Genepop 4.2 (Rousset 2008). From the 30 primer sets designed, 29 microsatellite loci were successfully amplified, and 16

Table 2 Results of cross-species amplification with primer pairs developed from *Pygoscelis antarctica*

| Loci | Species | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Pygoscelis papua | Pygoscelis adelie | Eudyptes chrysolophus | | | | |
| Pygantarc02 | + | + | + | | | | |
| Pygantarc03 | + | + | _ | | | | |
| Pygantarc06 | + | + | + | | | | |
| Pygantarc07 | + | + | + | | | | |
| Pygantarc11 | + | + | _ | | | | |
| Pygantarc15 | + | + | _ | | | | |
| Pygantarc16 | + | + | + | | | | |
| Pygantarc18 | + | + | _ | | | | |
| Pygantarc19 | + | + | + | | | | |
| Pygantarc22 | + | + | + | | | | |
| Pygantarc24 | + | + | + | | | | |
| Pygantarc25 | + | + | + | | | | |
| Pygantarc26 | + | + | + | | | | |
| Pygantarc27 | _ | _ | _ | | | | |
| Pygantarc28 | + | + | + | | | | |
| Pygantarc29 | _ | + | + | | | | |

Two individuals of each species were screened

+ amplification, - no amplification

primer sets were found to be polymorphic. The number of alleles per locus ranged from two to nine (Table 1). The observed heterozygosities ranged from 0.136 to 0.864, and the expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.208 to 0.831. Two loci (Pygantarc11 and Pygantarc18) showed significant deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. Crossspecies amplifications conducted for three other penguin species, *Pygoscelis papua, Pygoscelis adelie,* and *Eudyptes chrysolophus*, showed successful amplification of the loci (Table 2).

Acknowledgments This work was supported by an Antarctic Organisms: Cold-adaptation Mechanism and Its Application grant (PE15070), funded by the Korea Polar Research Institute.

References

Korczak-Abshire M, Chwedorzewska KJ, Wasowicz P, Bednarek PT (2012) Genetic structure of declining chinstrap penguin (*Pygoscelis antarcticus*) populations from South Shetland Islands (Antarctica). Polar Biol 35:1681–1689

- Meglécz E et al. (2014) QDD version 3.1: a user-friendly computer program for microsatellite selection and primer design revisited: experimental validation of variables determining genotyping success rate. Mol Ecol Resour
- Peakall R, Smouse PE (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research—an update. Bioinformatics 28:2537–2539
- Rousset F (2008) Genepop'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for Windows and Linux. Mol Ecol Resour 8:103–106
- Rozen S, Skaletsky H (2000) Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. Methods Mol Biol 132:365–386